

## **Standardizing Health Metrics: The SF-36 Health Survey and Beyond**

**John E. Ware, Jr., PhD\***

The widespread adoption of the SF-36 Health Survey, which has been the subject of more than 5,000 publications, proves that a more practical psychometric tool for assessing health outcomes will be more widely used. The synergy that has resulted from using the same tool across population surveys, clinical trials and predictive studies of health care costs, work productivity and mortality leaves little doubt about the advantages of standardizing health metrics and of accumulating guidelines for interpreting what the numbers mean.

In response, not surprisingly, the field of outcomes research appears to be evolving at an increasingly rapid rate. So, what have we learned from using the SF-36 and what should happen next? In this presentation, Dr. Ware, summarizes the problems with today's short-form tools and the strategy that he is adopting, with his collaborators, for maintaining comparability with the best of what we have today while developing and marketing the next generation of health status and outcomes assessment tools.

---

\*Dr Ware ([jware@qualitymetric.com](mailto:jware@qualitymetric.com)) is Chief Science Officer and CEO at QualityMetric Incorporated, Executive Director, Health Assessment Lab in Waltham MA and Research Professor, Tufts University School of Medicine in Boston MA.

This presentation was made at Health Outcomes 2003: The Quest for Practice Improvement, 9<sup>TH</sup> Annual National Health Outcomes Conference. Rydges Lakeside, Canberra, Australia, 20-21 August 2003. Dr. Ware's research is supported, in part, by grants from the National Institutes of Health (NICHD and NIDDK). SF-36® is a registered trademark of the Medical Outcomes Trust.